S.B. CRIMINAL MISC. II BAIL APPLICATION NO.3337/2006
(Mangi Lal Vs. State)

Date of order : 7.8.2006

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GOPAL KRISHAN VYAS

Mr. Pankaj Sharma, for the applicant.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicant that in this case two other accused persons have already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 11.5.2006. It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicant that as per the allegations levelled by author of FIR, it is alleged that accused Mangilal inflicted injury by 'gandasi' and injury No. 1 and 2 have been assigned to him. It is contended that both the injuries assigned to the applicant are lacerated wounds and as per x-ray report, these injuries are simple in nature. Other injuries are abrasions and bruises. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that applicant is behind the bars since last four months.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any

opinion, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the second bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Mangi Lal S/o Pema Ram shall be released on bail (in FIR No.189/2006, P.S. Sangaria, District Hanumangarh) provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- and furnishes two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.10,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court for his appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so, till the completion of trial.

(GOPAL KRISHAN VYAS), J.

arun